

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,813	01/31/2002	James Armand Baldwin	MS1-1011US	1857	
22801	7590 05/26/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAY		GILLIS, BRIAN J			
SPOKANE, V	ISIDE AVENUE SUITI VA 99201	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2141		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/061,813	BALDWIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian J. Gillis	2141

After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian J. Gillis	2141				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
The reply filed 20 March 2006 is acknowledged.						
1. The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an appeal brief, but prior to a final decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered because:						
a.  The amendment is not limited to canceling claims (where the cancellation does not affect the scope of any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claims into independent form (no limitation of a dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting that claim). See 37 CFR 41.33(b) and (c).						
<ul> <li>b.    The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief.   See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).</li> </ul>						
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.						
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in response to one of the following: (a) an examiner's answer that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental examiner's answer written in response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for further consideration of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision that includes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).						
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
4. ☑ Other: The reply will be entered upon appeal. The Examiner would like to point to a 112 2 <sup>nd</sup> issue in claim 21. The claim recites the limitation "the client" in line 20. There is insufficient antecendent basis for this limitation in the claim.						
The status of the claims is as follows:  Claims: 1-3, 5-8, 10-13, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, and 26 are cancelled.  Claims: 4, 9, 14-16, 18, 21, and 24 are rejected.						
	RUPA SUPERVISORY	L DHARIA PATENT EXAMIN	VER			